	•		UNITED STATES DEPAR United States Patent and Address: COMMISSIONER F P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 223 www.uspto.gov	Trademark Office OR PATENTS
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/051,415	01/18/2002	Santosh C. Lolayekar	MARA-01001US0 SBS	7003
48789 7590 11/07/2006			EXAMINER	
	ES OF BARRY N. YOU	WALSH, JOHN B		
260 SHERIDAN AVENUE SUITE 410			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PALO ALTO, CA 94306-2047			2151	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/051,415	LOLAYEKAR ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	John B. Walsh	2151		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address		
Period for Reply				
<ul> <li>A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D</li> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 136(a). In no event, however, may a re will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{06}$ (	October 2006.			
	s action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under	<i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
<ul> <li>4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-49</u> is/are pending in the application</li> </ul>	n. ·			
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>37-48</u> is/are withdra				
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-36 and 49</u> is/are allowed.				
6) Claim(s) $1,2$ and $5-11$ is/are rejected.				
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3 and 4</u> is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er	·		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		-		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing(	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n prioritv under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) All b) Some * c) None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received in A	pplication No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have been	received in this National Stage		
application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	· · ·		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not	received.		
Attachment(s)	· · · · · ·			
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>		ummary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date		
<ul> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>		formal Patent Application		
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office				

.

.

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Double Patenting**

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1, 5 and 7 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-

type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 3, 4 and 5 of copending Application

No. 10/051,164. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably

distinct from each other because claim 1 of the instant application is similar, not patentably

distinct, to claims 1 and 3 of '164 since it discloses receiving of data (packet), translating without

buffering and forwarding (transmitting). As concerns claims 5 and 7 of the instant application

they are similar, not patentably distinct, from claims 4 and 5 of the '164 application.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Application/Control Number: 10/051,415 Art Unit: 2151

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent

Application Publication 2003/0074473 A1 to Pham et al.

Pham et al. '473 disclose receiving a packet formatted in accordance with a first protocol

from a first device that operates in accordance with the first protocol; translating the packet to

one formatted in accordance with a second protocol (0036,0042); forwarding the packet to a

second device that operates in accordance with the second protocol and wherein the steps occur

at wire speed (0012).

5. Claims 1, 2, 5-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,880,070 to Gentieu et al.

Gentieu et al. '070 disclose receiving a packet formatted in accordance with a first protocol from a first device that operates in accordance with the first protocol; translating the packet to one formatted in accordance with a second protocol (abstract); forwarding the packet to a second device that operates in accordance with the second protocol and wherein the steps occur without buffering the packet (column 1, lines 55-60)

As concerns claims 2 and 11, the steps occur at wire speed (abstract).

Application/Control Number: 10/051,415 Art Unit: 2151

As concerns claims 5-8, the protocols are iSCSI, Fibre Channel and TCP (column 1, line 47; column 3, lines 11-12).

As concerns claims 9 and 10, wherein one device is an initiator and one device is a target (inherent in network communication to have a sender and receiver; furthermore the devices have only been functionally recited).

# **Response to Amendment**

6. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

# **Response to Arguments**

7. Applicant's arguments, filed October 6, 2006, with respect to Latif et al. have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of the claims in view of Latif et al. has been withdrawn.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 12-36 and 49 are allowed.

9. Claims 3 and 4 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/051,415 Art Unit: 2151

# Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John B. Walsh whose telephone number is 571-272-7063. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Zarni Maung can be reached on 571-272-3939. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

John B. Walsh Primary Examiner Art Unit 2151